

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/526,582	03/16/2000	Judith Fitzpatrick	SRX 110	1732
75	590 08/19/2002			
Patrea L Pabst Arnall Golden & Gregory LLP 2800 One Atlantic Center			EXAMINER	
			GABEL, GAILENE	
1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-3450			ART UNIT P	PAPER NUMBER
,			1641 DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/526,582	FITZPATRICK ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Gailene R. Gabel	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	ı
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 29 May 2002. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	the period set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	√ will be entered and an wor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-23.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. ☐ Other: Chusty	L. Chin Dile	8115102	
PRIMARY	HER L. CHIN EXAMINER IP 18007441	8115102	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to include that the method of determining the level of an apolipoprotein in saliva, requires specific use of "kit comprising means for collection ..., antibodies ..., means for comparing ..." which introduces further issues of indefiniteness under the provisions of 35 USC 112, second paragraph, i.e. claim 1 fails to clearly define in the method steps, how the new limitations which incorporate the kit, relate cooperatively and functionally, with the elements currently recited in the claim; thus, requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment of claim 1 introduces new issues for consideration. Further, contrary to Applicant's contention, claims 1-23, including claim 12, are not allowable and fail to obviate the teaching of the prior art currently of record. See page 8 of Office Action in Paper No. 12, for the record. No acknowledgment has been made to date, of an allowable subject matter that has been identified in any of the claims, currently of record.